



Helmet laws: what has been their effect?

Information about the scope, compliance, enforcement, changes in injury and cycle use, and cost benefit of helmet laws that have been introduced.

Australia

[Australian Capital Territory](#)

[New South Wales](#)

[Northern Territory](#)

[Queensland](#)

[South Australia](#)

[Tasmania](#)

[Victoria](#)

[Western Australia](#)

Canada

[Alberta](#)

[British Columbia](#)

[New Brunswick](#)

[Nova Scotia](#)

[Ontario](#)

[Prince Edward Island](#)

[Czech Republic](#)

[Iceland](#)

[Israel](#)

[New Zealand](#)

[South Africa](#)

[Spain](#)

[Sweden](#)

USA

[California](#)

[New Jersey](#)

There is little information about the effect of US helmet laws, most of which apply only to children.

Most of the laws appear to be little enforced and are widely disregarded.

The Bicycle Helmet Research Foundation (BHRF), an incorporated body with an international membership, exists to undertake, encourage and spread the scientific study of the use of bicycle helmets. Also to consider the effect of the promotion and use of helmets on the perception of cycling in terms of risk and the achievement of wider public health and societal goals.

BHRF strives to provide a resource of best-available factual information to assist the understanding of a complex subject, and one where some of the reasoning may conflict with received opinion. In particular BHRF seeks to provide access to a wider range of information than is commonly made available by those that take a strong helmet promotion stance. It is hoped that this will assist informed judgements about the pros and cons of cycle helmets.

For more information, please visit www.cyclehelmets.org.

Document downloaded 18 Nov 2017. The copyright in this document is owned by the Bicycle Helmet Research Foundation, but it may be reproduced or distributed freely so long as the content is not modified in any way.